IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.824 OF 2003

IN

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.200 OF 2002

The State of Maharashtra ...Applicant

Versus

Raghunath Jagannath Kamane ...Respondent

.....

Mr.A.S.Gadkari, A.P.P. for Applicant.

None for the Respondent.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

FEBRUARY 1, 2005.

P.C.

1. There is delay of twelve days in filing of the Revision Application. Ordinarily, delay of twelve days can be condoned. However, in the present case, the Revision Application is directed against order passed by the Sessions Judge, Pune dated 28th January 2002 granting anticipatory bail in favour of the Respondent.

2. In the first place, whether Revision against such order is maintainable, itself is doubtful. In any case, at this distance of time, the question of cancelling anticipatory bail does not arise. I had occasion to reject several Applications for cancellation of bail filed on behalf of the State in this Court. Even the present case is listed before the Court by the Registry on its own. In the circumstances, this Application is rejected.

3. Copy of this order be forwarded to the Secretary, Law and Judiciary Department, Mantralaya, Government of Maharashtra as well as the Principal Secretary, Home Department, Government of Maharashtra, to take appropriate steps as may be advised in terms of the observations made in the order passed in Criminal Application No.251 of 2003 dated 11th Janaury 2005.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.